State of Minnesota

LEGISLATIVE COMMISSION ON PENSIONS AND RETIREMENT



TO:

Representative Phyllis Kahn

FROM:

Lawrence A. Martin, Executive Director July

RE:

Requested Analysis of H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom), General Regulation of OPEB Trusts

DATE:

April 9, 2007

Summary of H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom), the First Engrossment

H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom), the first engrossment, allows a public entity with an actuarial liability for postemployment benefits to establish a trust to pay those benefits. It defines "postemployment benefits" to mean benefits that give rise to a liability under the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 45 ("GASB 45") and it defines "trust" by reference to federal tax code. The proposed legislation permits the trust to be revocable or irrevocable and permits the trust administrator to be the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA), a bank, or an insurance company. The trust administrator is required to set up a separate account for each political subdivision or public entity and the trust administrator is permitted to charge maintenance fees. The allowable investments by each of the authorized trust administrators are specified as the generally applicable authority for the State Board of Investment or alternatively the municipal investment authority under Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 118A, or the large local pension plan investment authority under Minnesota Statutes, Section 356A.06, Subdivision 7. The public entity may withdraw funds from a revocable trust only to pay benefits unless there are changes in law or there are changes in actuarially determined liability occur that result in more money in the trust than is needed. The public entity may withdraw funds from an irrevocable trust only to pay postemployment benefits or when the political subdivision's actuarial liability for the benefits is satisfied or defeased. The trust fund money is not subject to the public entity's creditor claims. Trust funds created before June 6, 2006, under a provision of the federal tax code are validated and funds in a validated trust or account to be invested as provided in the proposed legislation and the trust or account must be brought into compliance with the proposed legislation by January 1, 2008

Summary of GASB Statement No. 45*

The Government Accounting Standards Board Statement 45 requires employers that sponsor defined benefit plans other than pension benefits to measure and report their long-term "other postemployment benefit" (OPEB) costs and the extent to which the employer has contributed assets to meet those costs. For these purposes, the same actuarial methods and assumptions are to be used for both the OPEB plan and the participating employer.

Two key OPEB measures are required to be calculated and included in the employer's financial statements:

- 1. The "OPEB cost" is the annual required contribution (ARC), with certain adjustments, determined by an actuarial valuation conforming to GASB's parameters. The OPEB cost determines the employer's annual OPEB expense or expenditure shown in the employer's annual financial statements.
- 2. The "net OPEB obligation" is the difference between the employer's OPEB cost and actual annual employer contributions to the OPEB plan, accumulated from the effective date of the OPEB standards.

In addition to requiring that government employers measure and report the long-term costs of retiree health care and other OPEBs in their financial statements, the OPEB standards also require other disclosures in the employer's annual financial report, including:

- a. <u>Notes to the Financial Statements</u>: The disclosure item summarizes plan provisions, authority for plan changes, significant accounting policies, contributions, reserves, investme3nt concentrations, funded status, funding progress, actuarial methods and actuarial assumptions.
- b. <u>Schedule of Funding Progress</u>: The disclosure item shows actuarial accrued liabilities, actuarial value of assets, unfunded accrued liabilities, funded ratio, covered payroll and unfunded liabilities as a percent of covered payroll for the three most recent actuarial valuations.

^{*} This summary was drawn heavily from Murphy, Brian B., and Zorn, Paul, "Managing the Impact of GASB Statement 45," Benefits & Compensation Digest, Vol. 43, No. 5, May 2006.



c. <u>Schedule of Employer Contributions</u>: The disclosure item shows the annual OPEB cost, the percent of the annual OPEB cost actually contributed by the employer, and the net OPEB obligation for the three most recent actuarial valuations.

The GASB OPEB standards do not require that OPEB benefits to be prefunded or accumulated OPEB funds be held in trust, but offer significant advantages for doing both. If OPEB assets are held in trust and the funding policy requires a contribution of 100 percent or more of the annual ARC, GASB Statement 45 allows the investment return assumption to reflect the diversified mix of stocks and bonds held by the trust, similar to that used by public pension plans. However, if OPEB assets are not held in trust, the assumed investment return may only reflect the return on investments available to the employer, which are typically short-term, fixed income securities. Consequently, OPEB costs are likely to be much higher in an unfunded plan than in a funded one, because investment return will be assumed to play a much smaller role in the funding.

The GASB OPEB standards provide incentives for governments to establish funding vehicles (trusts or equivalent arrangements) for OPEB benefits and to fund the OPEB costs at levels equal to the ARC. Even without such an incentive, funding OPEB benefits is a good idea. Income from investments can, in the long term, significantly offset future required contributions and can help ensure survival of the plan.

To qualify as a trust or an equivalent arrangement under the GASB standards, the arrangement must be established so that:

- (1) Contributions are irrevocable;
- (2) Assets are dedicated to providing OPEBs to retirees and beneficiaries in accordance with the terms of the plan; and
- (3) The assets are legally protected from creditors of the plan sponsor or administrator.

If the trust fund or equivalent arrangement meets these three conditions, contributions made by the employer can offset OPEB liabilities in the financial statements, and the actuary can use a higher rate of interest in the calculations, actually lowering the ARC.

In addition to satisfying GASB's requirements, other characteristics are desirable in a funding vehicle. It would be best if plan administration was not too complex, with minimal administrative filings. The vehicle should allow for a diversified portfolio while protecting the assets from creditors. To the extent possible, the vehicle should also provide tax advantages with regard to contributions, investment earnings and benefit payouts. Several funding vehicles are available to governments for funding retiree health care, including 401(h) accounts, voluntary employees' beneficiary associations (VEBAs) and governmental trusts.

Analysis and Discussion

H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom), the first engrossment, addresses a portion of the "Other Postemployment Benefit" (OPEB) issue that has been prompted by the promulgation and pending implementation of Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 45, by permitting Minnesota governmental subdivisions to establish OPEB trusts and by providing some regulation of those trusts, principally their investment authority.

H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom) is not a general response to the broad situation of OPEB benefits grants, but is a response to the recognition by local government representatives and others that potential municipal debt issuance will be affected by OPEB concerns in the future, that municipal debt rating agency concerns and preferences argue for the creation of OEPB trusts, and that current Minnesota law has been interpreted by the Attorney General's Office (June 6, 2006, letter from Kenneth E. Raschke, Jr., to Patricia Anderson) as disallowing the establishment of OPEB trusts in Minnesota.

Your request was for my assessment of the problematic aspects of the proposed legislation. I have approached this request more generally than the proposed legislation, from both the perspective of identifying any potential shortcomings in the proposed legislation as well as the perspective of identifying policy issues not addressed by the proposed legislation.

The policy issues associated with H.F. 60 (Hilstrom) and the OPEB accounting change that I have identified for consideration and discussion are:

Potential Need to Disallow the Creation of Future OPEB Plans or to Regulate the Creation of Future
 OPEB Plans. H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom) does not address the policy issue, but it may be advantageous
 from a policy perspective that the Minnesota Legislature either disallow Minnesota political

subdivisions from creating any new (post-June 30, 2007) "other postemployment benefit" (OPEB) plans or promises or to constrain the power of Minnesota political subdivisions in creating new OPEB plans or obligations by imposing various regulatory measures on new (post-July 1, 2007) OPEB promises. This would be analogous to the situation addressed by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement in 1971, when some local jurisdictions were creating employer-funded supplemental retirement plans to augment the retirement coverage of the statewide retirement plans and compete with each other for employees, and the Commission recommended Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.24 (Laws 1971, Chapter 222), which is a general ban on the creation of employer-funded supplemental pension plans that has some exceptions if some regulatory requirements are met. Thus, legislation (Amendment H1160-1A) could be enacted that would prohibit local government entities form creating a new OPEB plan for any employee group or class not currently (as of June 30, 2007) covered by an OPEB plan and would prohibit any increase in the level of OPEB coverage in an OPEB plan currently in force (on June 30, 2007).

- 2. Potential Need to Clarify the Other Postemployment Benefit Arrangements. H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom) does not address the policy issue, but there may be policy advantages in regulating the manner in which other postemployment benefit promises are made. It appears that the rights that public employees gain to non-pension forms of employment-related benefits after retirement are primarily obtained through collective bargaining agreements, personnel policy documents, or governmental policy making body enactments. The benefits might not be codified into one document rather than existing in multiple documents and covered individuals, policy makers, and taxpayers might not be on complete notice of the magnitude of the benefit coverage and the various applicable eligibility requirements. Amendment H1160-2A would address this issue of incomplete disclosure of OPEB plans by requiring the government entity to reduce the entirety of all pre-July 1, 2007, OPEB benefit provisions into a single document, the OPEB plan, and to make all post-June 30, 2007, changes in OPEB by amendments to that OPEB plan.
- Potential Need to Clarify the Manner in which Other Postemployment Benefits Actuarial Liabilities are Calculated. H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom) does not address the policy issue of the advisability of regulating the manner in which other postemployment benefit liabilities are calculated. Other postemployment benefits (OPEB) are typically self-insured benefit obligations that are essentially identical to pension benefits from the standpoint of the determination of actuarial liabilities. With pension liabilities, the Legislature discerned the need to regulate the manner in which the actuarial liabilities will be calculated in 1965, with the enactment of Minnesota Statutes 2006, Section 356.215. Because other employment benefit funding costs are typically borne by the employer rather than jointly with the employees, some streamlining of or modifications to pension plan actuarial reporting requirements are possible. The topics that probably should be addressed with respect to OPEB liability determination and reporting are the academic, professional, or experiential requirements to be imposed in determining an actuary qualified to conduct the actuarial reporting, the actuarial method to be used in determining actuarial liabilities of the OPEB plan, the method for determining the actuarial value of plan assets, the actuarial assumptions used in OPEB actuarial valuations, the frequency of OPEB actuarial valuations, the filing requirements for OPEB actuarial valuations, the extent of funding requirements to be imposed for OPEB liabilities and the penalties for any failure of compliance, and the extent to which the Government Accounting Standards Board Statement 45 accommodations for liability and funding requirement determinations for small OPEB plans should be incorporated into Minnesota law. Amendment H1160-3A addresses those topics in order to prompt further discussion by interested parties.
- 4. Potential Need to Add Fiduciary Regulation. H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom) limits its scope to the manner in which other postemployment benefits (OPEB) amassed assets will be invested and what available investment mechanism may be utilized by an OPEB plan. It provides no guidance or regulation of the responsibility and liability for the manner in which the OPEB plan and amassed assets are administered. The policy issue is what fiduciary responsibility regulations should apply to OPEB plans. Absent any codified statutory fiduciary regulations for OPEB plans, to the extent that the litigants or the court find an analogous situation, the common law of private trusts or pension plan fiduciary responsibility likely will apply. To ensure consistent and pervasive application of fiduciary regulation, especially if outside investment vendors are permitted to operate or administer these OPEB plans, it may be optimal to bring OPEB administrations under the public pension plan fiduciary standards of Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 356A. Amendment H1160-4A extends Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 356A, to OPEB plans and arrangements.
- 5. Appropriateness of Utilizing Banks and Insurance Companies as Plan Administration and Investment Vehicles. (Section 1, Subdivision 3) The policy issue is the appropriateness of permitting banks and

insurance companies to administer the trusts permitted as vehicles for Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) plans or arrangements and to function as the investment vehicles for those trusts, rather than utilizing a local or statewide governmental plan administration. Representatives of the League of Minnesota Cities and of the Minnesota Inter-County Association, two proponents of the proposed legislation as drafter, indicated to the Commission staff that the inclusion of the banks and insurance companies was the result of the ability of banks and insurance companies to block similar 2006 proposed legislation that did not permit their involvement and of the preferences of school districts in benefit programs utilizing insurance companies. These reasons reflect practical and tactical considerations, but shed no light on policy reasons for the inclusions of banks and insurance companies to handle OPEB trusts on behalf of the public sector. The operation of these permitted trusts, where the governmental unit retains the power to create and amend the benefit plan, is limited to collecting money designated as an asset of the OEPB program, investing OPEB assets, and periodically returning amounts when benefits are due. There are approaches within the public sector to handle these OPEB assets, from having the State Board of Investment establish an investment mechanism akin to what it did in connection with the Lottery and with the Assigned Risk Pool for Workers Compensation, to utilizing the Health Savings Account Plan operated by the Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS) if federal law permits, to utilizing the collection and disbursement functions of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA), a statewide retirement plan, to utilizing special administrative operations established within each county, to utilizing a special legislatively created nonprofit company for this purpose akin to the Workers Compensation Reinsurance Association. Amendment E eliminates the banks and insurance companies from the trust operation authority, leaving PERA as the sole administrative entity for OPEB trusts.

- Appropriateness of Requiring More Qualified Banks and Insurance Companies to Serve OPEB Trusts. (Section 1, Subdivision 3) The policy issue is whether or not to require the banks and insurance companies that are permitted to serve Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) trusts to meet greater quality criteria than currently required by H.F. 1160 (Hilstrom). The proposed legislation simply requires that banks be incorporated under U.S. state or federal law and be organized to exercise corporate trust powers and that insurance companies or agencies be "qualified" to do business in Minnesota, have five-year group retirement investment products, and have a specialized retirement investment products department. The current requirements would not eliminate any but the smallest banks and any but those insurance companies without any advertising or marketing sense. None of the requirements actually speak to either quality investment performance or modest administrative expenses. The proposed legislation could be improved by requiring quality/efficiency. Amendment H1160-6A would require the bank or insurance company to demonstrate to the Commissioner of Commerce that its administrative expenses are lower than those charged by the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) for the Duluth OPEB account. Amendment H1160-7A would require any bank or insurance company engaging in the OPEB business to demonstrate to the Commissioner of Commerce that its investment performance for the past two, five, and ten year periods exceeded the investment performance of the Income Share Account of the Minnesota Supplemental Investment Fund operated by the State Board of Investment. Amendment H1160-8A would impose both requirements.
- Appropriateness of Utilizing PERA to Administer OPEB Trusts. (Section 1, Subdivision 3) The policy issue is the appropriateness of utilizing the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA) to administer the various local government other postemployment benefit (OPEB) trusts. The proposed legislation permits PERA to be an alternative administrative entity to operate OPEB trusts. The duties to be performed by PERA for the OPEB trusts are not set forth in any detail, although presumably PERA would be receiving OPEB contributions, keeping basic accounting records, and transfer portions of OPEB assets back to sponsoring employers when benefits are due. The proposed legislation also does not specify what would be reasonable administrative expenses for PERA in conducting its activities, although PERA is permitted to deduct its administrative charges from the OPEB trusts. The additional, but ill defined, duties to be expected of PERA under the proposed legislation may blur the mission of PERA to administer the various retirement plans it is primarily responsible for, similar to the way that the State Deferred Compensation Program has appeared to interfere with the Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS) in administering its retirement plans. Also, the use of PERA to operate these OPEB trusts would seem to eliminate the possibility of utilizing the MSRS Health Savings Program as the vehicle through which to operate OPEB accounts if federal law is determined to permit its use.
- 8. <u>Appropriateness of Utilizing Revocable and Irrevocable Trusts</u>. (Section 1, Subdivision 2) The policy issue is the appropriateness of allowing the creation or either irrevocable trusts and revocable

trusts for other postemployment benefits (OPEB). The creation of a funded irrevocable OPEB trust allows the employing unit responsible for the OPEB program to basically ignore the OEPB liability in its regular financial reporting, while revocable OPEB trusts are not treated the same. The desire for revocable trust authority rather than the potentially more advantageous irrevocable trust authority is a concern by some employing units that federal or state law, demographics, or other factors are too fluid to permit the inflexibility of an irrevocable trust. It is not clear that the full policy argument for revocable trust authority has been presented to the appropriate legislative bodies to sufficiently convince policy makers of the wisdom of permitting anything but an irrevocable trust.

- 9. Appropriateness of the Lack of Required Minimum Contents Items for OPEB Trust Documents. The policy issue is the appropriateness of the proposed legislation when it does not specify any minimum requirements for the contents of an other postemployment benefits (OPEB) trust document. Trust documents can be very complicated and OEPB liabilities and assets can be very substantial, which are both factors arguing for a need for more specificity in the proposed trust creation authority. The proponents of the proposed legislation should be requested to provide some sample trust documents and the contents of the best drafted documents could be translated into minimum statutory trust document requirements.
- 10. Appropriateness of Including Municipal Investment Authority for OPEB Trusts. (Section 1, Subdivision 5, Paragraph (d)) The proposed legislation, for other postemployment benefits (OPEB) trusts not administered by the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA), sets the trust investment authority as either the current local public funds investment authority, Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 118A, or the current larger local retirement plan investment authority, Minnesota Statutes, Section 356A.06, Subdivision 7. Since the problem underlying the proposed legislation is the need to utilize a trust that would permit OPEB assets to be invested in long-term, institutional investor-type investment securities, it is unclear why limiting investment authority to the current municipal investment authority law under Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 118A, makes any policy sense.
- 11. Appropriate Level of Risk Tolerance for OPEB Trust Assets. (Section 1, Subdivision 5, Paragraph (d)) The policy issue is the appropriateness of allowing all other postemployment benefits (OPEB) trusts, even the smallest trusts, the authority to invest in virtually the full spectrum of potential retirement plan investments. For smaller sized local Minnesota public pension plans, which may be appropriately risk-averse, and which are not professionally invested, Minnesota Statutes, Section 356A.06, Subdivision 6, restricts the plan's investment authority to more debt securities and less likely market volatility. It may be appropriate to also carry forward the small versus large asset investment authority provisions for OPEB trusts.
- 12. Appropriateness of the Vague Investment Authority for State Board of Investment Invested OEPB Trusts. (Section 1, Subdivision 5, Paragraph (d)) The policy issue is the appropriateness of the vague reference to "the investment restrictions shall be the same as those generally applicable to the State Board of Investment" when the actual State Board of Investment investment authority could be referenced. The primary "legal list" investment authority of the State Board of Investment is Minnesota Statutes, Section 11A.24. Amendment H1160-9A would replace the vague reference to the specific citation. It is undoubtedly appropriate to include vague drafting in proposed legislation where the precise intent is unclear or all of the relevant facts are unknown, but since that is not the case here, more precise drafting would be advisable.
- 13. Appropriateness of Omission of Any Requirement for Filing an Investment Policy Statement. The policy issue is the need for any other postemployment benefits (OPEB) trusts authorized for various political subdivisions to have a clear investment policy and to be required to file that statement with some central statewide clearinghouse. The Duluth Postemployment Benefit trust fund authorization required the City of Duluth to construct an investment policy statement and to file that investment policy statement with the State Auditor, which also functions as the repository and clearinghouse for local pension plan investment statements. A key argument for OPEB trusts is to allow for the actuarial pre-funding of OPEB liabilities and to gain the investment performance on the amassed assets. An actuarial pre-funded pension plan can have its investment portfolio provide two-thirds to three-quarters of the money disbursed as benefits and the same sort of investment advantage can be gained for OPEB trusts. A well operated institutional investment operation will have a clear policy on investment portfolio mix, investment time horizons, investment risk, and extent of active investment management permitted, although the investment policy statement does not substitute for its actual implementation. The only way to insure that OEPB trusts will be invested effectively is to require that OPEB trusts have investment policy statements from the start and then to monitor actual

performance. Amendment H1160-10A replicates the Duluth OEPB trust investment policy statement requirement.

14. Need for Regular Investment Performance Reporting and Public Disclosure. (Section 1, Subdivision 4) The policy issue is the need for an expanded investment performance reporting requirement for other postemployment benefits (OPEB) trusts and for greater public disclosure of those results. The proposed legislation requires that "investment returns" of the OEPB trust be reported to the political subdivision establishing the OPEB trust and must be disclosed in the political subdivision's "annual financial audit" in a manner prescribed by the State Auditor. Unless the method for calculating investment performance results is specified, comparisons of investment performance would be difficult or impossible. With the proposed legislation's authorization of multiple trust administrators, the only method to weed out those poorly equipped to do this type of business is to require comparable investment performance and fee information and to require that the information be generally available. Amendment H1160-11A that the investment performance results be calculated on a consistent total time-weighted rate of return basis used by all Minnesota public pension plans, requires that those investment performance results be reported to the State Auditor, and requires that OEPB trust investment performance and administrative costs be included in the sponsoring political subdivision's annual financial reporting.

Conclusion

I hope that this memorandum and the attached amendments satisfactorily respond to your request. If you have any questions about these materials, please contact me (651-296-2750).

...... moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:

1.1

1.2	Page 1, after line 6, insert:
1.3	"Section 1. [471.6172] RESTRICTIONS ON NEW OPEB PLANS AND
1.4	INCREASES IN EXISTING OPEB PLANS.
1.5	Subdivision 1. Restriction. (a) It is unlawful for a county, municipality, township,
1.6	school district, or other governmental subdivision to create a postemployment benefits
1.7	plan for any group or class of employees or to modify in a manner that increases the
1.8	actuarial liability of a postemployment benefits plan after June 30, 2007, except as
1.9	provided in subdivision 2.
1.10	(b) "Postemployment benefits" are benefits that give rise to a liability under
1.11	Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. A "postemploymen
1.12	benefits plan" is any arrangement, including a collective bargaining agreement, a
1.13	personnel policy, an ordinance, or a resolution, under which postemployment benefits are
1.14	provided to retired employees of the employing unit or are made applicable to active
1.15	employees of the employing unit.
1.16	Subd. 2. Exceptions. The restriction set forth in subdivision 1 does not apply if:
1.17	(1) the postemployment benefits plan was established before July 1, 2007;
1.18	(2) the postemployment benefits plan was or the plan amendments were specifically
1.19	authorized by legislative action after June 30, 2007; or
1.20	(3) the postemployment benefits plan duration is three years or less."
1.21	Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references
1.22	Amend the title accordingly

1.2	Page 1, after line 6, insert:
1.3	"Section 1. [471.6173] OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS PLAN
1.4	REQUIRED.
1.5	(a) The county, municipality, township, school district, or other governmental
1.6	subdivision which had a postemployment benefits arrangement covering some or all of its
1.7	employees before July 1, 2007, shall adopt a document as soon as is practicable after July
1.8	1, 2007, that contains the various provisions that constitute the postemployment benefits
1.9	plan and any modifications to the postemployment benefits plan after July 1, 2007, may
1.10	only be made by an amendment to that plan document.
1.11	(b) No county, municipality, township, school district, or other governmental
1.12	subdivision that did not have a postemployment benefits arrangement in effect before
1.13	July 1, 2007, may only implement a postemployment benefits program by enacting a
1.14	postemployment benefits plan.
1.15	(c) "Postemployment benefits" are benefits that give rise to a liability under
1.16	Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting and Standards Board."
1.17	Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references
1.18	Amend the title accordingly

...... moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:

Page 1, after line 6, insert:

1.1

1.2

..... moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:

1.3	"Section 1. [471.6178] POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFIT ACTUARIAL
1.4	REPORTING.
1.5	Subdivision 1. Requirements. The governing body or chief administrative officer
1.6	of each governmental unit that provides postemployment benefits shall have prepared, by
1.7	a qualified actuary, a biennial actuarial valuation of the postemployment benefits liability.
1.8	Subd. 2. Qualified actuary. A qualified actuary is a person who is regularly
1.9	engaged in the business of providing actuarial services and who either is a fellow in the
1.10	Society of Actuaries or has at least 15 years of providing actuarial services to pension,
1.11	health and welfare, or related benefit plans.
1.12	Subd. 3. Actuarial method. The actuarial valuation under this section must be
1.13	prepared using the entry age normal actuarial method.
1.14	Subd. 4. Value of assets. The market value of assets must be used in the actuarial
1.15	valuation.
1.16	Subd. 5. Actuarial assumptions. (a) The actuarial assumptions used in the actuarial
1.17	valuation must be set periodically by the governing body of the governmental subdivision
1.18	and must be a reasonable estimate of likely future experiences, based on available data
1.19	and experience, individually and in combination.
1.20	(b) The interest rate actuarial assumption may not exceed the postretirement interest
1.21	rate assumption of the retirement plan under section 356.215, subdivision 8, covering
1.22	the largest percentage of the individuals covered by the other postemployment benefits
1.23	arrangement or plan.
1.24	(c) The mortality actuarial assumption must be the mortality assumption of the
1.25	defined benefit retirement plan covering the largest percentage of the individuals covered
1.26	by the other postemployment benefits arrangement or plan.

04/02/07 04:28 PM	PENSIONS	LM/LD	H1160-3A
0 1/02/07 0 1120 1 111	1 21 (0101)		

2.1	Subd. 6. Frequency; filing; date. Other postemployment benefits actuarial
2.2	valuations must be prepared at least once every two years. The actuarial valuations must
2.3	be filed before the first day of the month occurring five months after the close of the other
2.4	postemployment benefits plan year, or if no single plan document exists, May 1. The other
2.5	postemployment benefits actuarial valuation must be filed with the governing board of the
2.6	governmental unit and with the state auditor.
2.7	Subd. 7. Accounting disclosure. The other postemployment benefits actuarial
2.8	valuation must contain whatever actuarial calculations necessary to meet the annual
2.9	financial reporting requirements of generally accepted accounting principles for the
2.10	public sector.
2.11	Subd. 8. Actuarial balance sheet. The other postemployment benefits actuarial
2.12	valuation must contain an actuarial balance sheet. The actuarial balance sheet must
2.13	include, at a minimum, the following information:
2.14	(1) current assets;
2.15	(2) present value of future normal costs;
2.16	(3) total current and expected future assets;
2.17	(4) present value of future benefits - benefit recipients;
2.18	(5) present value of future benefits - inactive vested employees;
2.19	(6) present value of future benefits - active employees; and
2.20	(7) total present value of future benefits.
2.21	Subd. 9. Amortization period. In addition to an exhibit disclosing the normal cost
2.22	of the arrangement or plan, the valuation must contain an exhibit disclosing the additional
2.23	annual contribution sufficient to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability of the
2.24	arrangement or plan by the end of the plan year occurring in year determined by adding
2.25	the average remaining lifetime of the members of the plan, rounded to the nearest whole
2.26	number.
2.27	Subd. 10. Actuarial gains and losses. The actuarial valuation must contain an
2.28	exhibit explaining the net increase or decrease in the unfunded actuarial accrued liability
2.29	since the last valuation, including:
2.30	(1) change in unfunded actuarial accrued liability because of changes in plan or
2.31	arrangement benefit provisions;
2.32	(2) change in unfunded actuarial accrued liability because of experience deviations
2.33	from the applicable actuarial assumptions, as follows:
2.34	(i) actual investment earnings;
2.35	(ii) actual mortality rates; and

	04/02/07 04:28 PM	PENSIONS	LM/LD	H1160-3A
3.1	(iii) other assumptions;			
3.2	(4) change resulting from	n amortization contributions	· 2	
3.3	(5) change resulting from	n eligibility requirement cha	nges or plan or a	rrangement
3.4	membership changes; and			
3.5	(6) change from any oth	er source.		
3.6	Subd. 11. Membership	tabulation. The other poste	mployment bene	fits actuarial
3.7	valuation must contain a tabul	ation of the active employee	s, inactive vested	employees,
3.8	and benefit recipients covered	by the other postemploymer	it benefits arrange	ment or plan.
3.9	Subd. 12. Benefit provi	sion summary. The other po	stemployment be	nefits actuarial
3.10	valuation must contain a sumr	nary of the benefit provisions	s of the arrangeme	ent or plan.
3.11	Subd. 13. Alternative	reporting. If permitted by S	tatement No. 45	of the
3.12	Government Accounting Stand	dards Board, the liability and	l annual cost dete	rminations
3.13	under an alternative method n	nay be substituted for the app	olicable disclosur	e items
3.14	otherwise required by this sect	tion for plans or arrangement	s with less than 10	00 members.
3.15	Sec. 2. [471.6179] POST	EMPLOYMENT BENEFI	T FUNDING	
3.16	REQUIREMENT.			
3.17	Subdivision 1. Financia	al requirement. The financi	al requirement of	the
3.18	postemployment benefit plan	or arrangement is the sum of	the following:	
3.19	(1) the normal cost deter	mined under section 471.617	<u>78;</u>	
3.20	(2) the amortization requ	irement determined under se	ection 471.6178; a	and
3.21	(3) any deficiency betwee	en the actual contribution an	d the financial re	quirement
3.22	remaining unpaid from the price	or year, plus 8.5 percent annu	ial compound int	erest.
3.23	Subd. 2. Minimum obli	igation. The employing enti	ty shall make an	employer
3.24	contribution to the plan or arra	ingement equal to at least the	following:	
3.25	(1) plan year 2008, 10 pe	ercent of the determined final	ncial requirement	<u>;</u>
3.26	(2) plan year 2009, the p	rior year's contribution amou	ınt plus 20 perce	nt of the
3.27	difference between that amoun	at and the full financial require	rement;	
3.28	(3) plan year 2010, the p	rior year's contribution amou	ant plus 40 perce	nt of the
3.29	difference between that amoun	at and the full financial requir	rement;	
3.30	(4) plan year 2011, the p	rior year's contribution amou	unt plus 60 percer	nt of the
3.31	difference between that amoun	at and the full financial requir	rement;	
3.32	(5) plan year 2012; the p	rior year's contribution amou	unt plus 80 perce	nt of the
3.33	difference between that amoun	at and the full financial requir	rement; and	
3.34	(6) plan year 2013 and the	nereafter, the full financial re	quirement."	

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references

3.35

4.1 Amend the title accordingly

.2	Page 4, after line 12, insert:
.3	"Sec. 2. [471.6176] FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY STANDARDS.
.4	To the extent that the situation of a postemployment benefit plan or arrangement is
.5	analogous to that of a covered pension plan under chapter 356A, that chapter applies to
.6	postemployment benefit plans and arrangements."
.7	Renumber the sections in sequence and correct the internal references
.8	Amend the title accordingly

...... moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:

1	moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:
.2	Page 1, line 18, delete "either:"
.3	Page 1, line 19, delete "(1)" and delete the semicolon and insert a period
.4	Page 1, delete lines 20 to 24
.5	Page 2, delete lines 1 and 2

1.1	moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:
1.2	Page 1, line 7, before "The" insert "(a) "
1.3	Page 1, delete lines 20 to 24 and insert:
1.4	"(2) a qualified bank or banking association; or
1.5	(3) a qualified insurance company.
1.6	(b) A qualified bank or banking association is a bank or banking association
1.7	that is chartered by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, that is authorized
1.8	by its organizing laws to exercise corporate trust powers, and that demonstrates to
1.9	the satisfaction of the commerce commissioner that its trust administrator fees have
1.10	been lower than the administrative fee charged by the Public Employees Retirement
1.11	Association for the account for Duluth postemployment benefits. A qualified insurance
1.12	company is an insurance company that is licensed to do business in Minnesota, that has
1.13	at least five years' experience in investment products and services for group retirement
1.14	benefits, that has a specialized department dedicated to services for retirement investment
1.15	products, and that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the commerce commissioner that its
1.16	trust administrator fees have been lower than the administrative fee charged by the Public
1.17	Employees Retirement Association for the account for Duluth postemployment benefits."
1.18	Page 2, delete lines 1 and 2
1.19	Page 2, line 3, before "A" insert "(c)"

Page 1, line 7, before "The" insert "(a) " 1.2 Page 1, delete lines 20 to 24 and insert: 1.3 "(2) a qualified bank or banking association; or 1.4 (3) a qualified insurance company. 1.5 (b) A qualified bank or banking association is a bank or banking association that 1.6 is chartered by the federal Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, that is authorized 1.7 by its organizing laws to exercise corporate trust powers, and that demonstrates to the 1.8 1.9 satisfaction of the commerce commissioner that it has had investment performance on a time-weighted total rate of return on the investment products available to be utilized by the 1.10 trust over the most recent two-year, five-year, and ten-year periods that is greater than the 1.11 total time-weighted rate of return investment performance of the income share account of 1.12 1.13 the Minnesota supplemental investment fund for the same periods. A qualified insurance 1.14 company is an insurance company that is licensed to do business in Minnesota, that has at least five years' experience in investment products and services for group retirement 1.15 benefits, that has a specialized department dedicated to services for retirement investment 1.16 products, and that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the commerce commissioner that it 1.17 has had investment performance on a time-weighted total rate of return on the investment 1.18 products available to be utilized by the trust over the most recent two-year, five-year, and 1.19 ten-year periods that is greater than the total time-weighted rate of return investment 1.20 performance of the income share account of the Minnesota supplemental investment 1.21 fund for the same periods." 1.22 Page 2, delete lines 1 and 2 1.23 Page 2, line 3, before "A" insert "(c)" 1.24

...... moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:

1.2

1.3

1.20

1.21

1.22

1.23

1.24

1.25

1.26

...... moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:

Page 1, line 7, before "The" insert "(a) "

Page 1, delete lines 20 to 24 and insert:

1.4 "(2) a qualified bank or banking association; or (3) a qualified insurance company. 1.5 (b) A qualified bank or banking association is a bank or banking association that is 1.6 chartered by the federal Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, that is authorized by its 1.7 organizing laws to exercise corporate trust powers, that demonstrates to the satisfaction 1.8 of the commerce commissioner that its trust administrator fees have been lower than 1.9 the administrative fee charged by the Public Employees Retirement Association for the 1.10 account for Duluth postemployment benefits, and that demonstrates to the satisfaction of 1.11 the commerce commissioner that it has had investment performance on a time-weighted 1.12 1.13 total rate of return on the investment products available to be utilized by the trust over the most recent two-year, five-year, and ten-year periods that is greater than the total 1.14 time-weighted rate of return investment performance of the income share account of the 1.15 Minnesota supplemental investment fund for the same periods. A qualified insurance 1.16 company is an insurance company that is licensed to do business in Minnesota, that has 1.17 at least five years' experience in investment products and services for group retirement 1.18 1.19 benefits, that has a specialized department dedicated to services for retirement investment

products, that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the commerce commissioner that its trust

administrator fees have been lower than the administrative fee charged by the Public

Employees Retirement Association for the account for Duluth postemployment benefits,

and that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the commerce commissioner that it has had

investment performance on a time-weighted total rate of return on the investment products

available to be utilized by the trust over the most recent two-year, five-year, and ten-year

periods that is greater than the total time-weighted rate of return investment performance

of the income share account of the Minnesota supplemental investment fund for the same

- 2.2 <u>periods.</u>"
- Page 2, delete lines 1 and 2
- 2.4 Page 2, line 3, before "<u>A</u>" insert "<u>(c)</u>"

1.1	moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:
1.2	Page 2, line 32, delete everything after "be" and insert "section 11A.24."
1.3	Page 2, line 33, delete "Investment."

1.1	moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:
1.2	Page 2, line 20, delete "(e)" and insert "(f)"
1.3	Page 2, line 21, after "(b)" insert
1.4	"The employing unit that established the trust shall file with the state auditor an investment
1.5	policy statement under section 356.219, subdivision 3, paragraph (a).
1.6	<u>(c)</u> "
1.7	Page 2, line 26, delete "(c)" and insert "(d)"
1.8	Page 2, line 31, delete "(d)" and insert "(e)"
1.9	Page 3, line 1, delete "(e)" and insert "(f)"

1.1	moves to amend H. F. No. 1160, the first engrossment, as follows:
1.2	Page 2, line 14, after "entity" insert "and to the state auditor"
1.3	Page 2, line 15, after "assets" insert ", calculated using the investment performance
1.4	formula established by the State Board of Investment under section 11A.04, clause (ii), "
1.5	Page 2, line 16, after "return," insert "calculated using the investment formula
1.6	established by the State Board of Investment under section 11A.04, clause (ii), "
1.7	Page 2, line 18, after "financial" insert "report and in any."

This Document can be made available in alternative formats upon request

State of Minnesota

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

EIGHTY-FIFTH SESSION

HOUSE FILE NO. 1160

February 19, 2007

1.1

Authored by Hilstrom, Rukavina, Simpson, Marquart, Atkins and others
The bill was read for the first time and referred to the Committee on Local Government and Metropolitan Affairs

A bill for an act

Committee Recommendation and Adoption of Report:

To Pass as Amended and re-referred to the Committee on Finance

1.2	to establish trusts to pay postemployment benefits to retired employees and
1.4	officers; appropriating money; proposing coding for new law in Minnesota
1.5	Statutes, chapter 471.
1.6	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA:
1.7	Section 1. [471.6175] TRUST FOR POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.
1.8	Subdivision 1. Authorization; establishment. A political subdivision or other
.9	public entity that creates or has created an actuarial liability to pay postemployment
.10	benefits to employees or officers after their termination of service may establish a trust to
.11	pay those benefits. For purposes of this section, the term "postemployment benefits" means
.12	benefits giving rise to a liability under Statement No. 45 of the Governmental Accounting
.13	Standards Board and the term "trust" means a trust, a trust account, or a custodial account
.14	or contract authorized under section 401(f) of the Internal Revenue Code.
.15	Subd. 2. Purpose of trust. The trust established under this section may only be
.16	used to pay postemployment benefits and may be either revocable or irrevocable.
.17	Subd. 3. Trust administrator. The trust administrator of a trust established under
.18	this section shall be either:
.19	(1) the Public Employees Retirement Association;
.20	(2) a bank or banking association incorporated under the laws of the United States or
.21	of any state and authorized by the laws under which it is organized to exercise corporate
.22	trust powers; or
.23	(3) an insurance company or agency qualified to do business in Minnesota which has
.24	at least five years' experience in investment products and services for group retirement

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.5

2.6

2.7

2.8

2.9

2.10

2.11

2.12

2.13

2.14

2.15

2.16

2.17

2.18

2.19

2.20

2.21

2.22

2.23

2.24

2.25

2.26

2.27

2.28

2.29

2.30

2.31

2.32

2.33

2.34

2.35

2.36

benefits and which has a specialized department dedicated to services for retirement investment products.

A political subdivision or public entity may, in its discretion and in compliance with any applicable trust document, change trust administrators and transfer trust assets accordingly.

Subd. 4. Account maintenance. A political subdivision or other public entity may establish a trust account to be held under the supervision of the trust administrator for the purposes of this section. A trust administrator shall establish a separate account for each participating political subdivision or public entity. The trust administrator may charge participating political subdivisions and public entities fees for reasonable administrative costs. The amount of any fees charged by the Public Employees Retirement Association is appropriated to the association from the account. A trust administrator may establish other reasonable terms and conditions for creation and maintenance of these accounts. The trust administrator must report to the political subdivision or other public entity on the investment returns of invested trust assets and on all investment fees or costs incurred by the trust. The annual rates of return, along with investment and administrative fees and costs for the trust, must be disclosed in the political subdivision's or public entity's annual financial audit in a manner prescribed by the state auditor.

Subd. 5. **Investment.** (a) The assets of a trust or trust account shall be invested and held as stipulated in paragraphs (b) to (e).

(b) The Public Employees Retirement Association must certify all money in the trust accounts for which it is trust administrator to the State Board of Investment for investment under section 11A.14, subject to the policies and procedures established by the State Board of Investment. Investment earnings must be credited to the trust account of the individual political subdivision or public entity.

(c) A trust administrator, other than the Public Employees Retirement Association, must ensure that all money in the trust accounts for which it is trust administrator is invested by a registered investment adviser, a bank investment trust department or an insurance company or agency retirement investment department. Investment earnings must be credited to the trust account of the individual political subdivision or public entity.

(d) For trust assets invested by the State Board of Investment, the investment restrictions shall be the same as those generally applicable to the State Board of Investment. For trust assets invested by a trust administrator other than the Public Employees Retirement Association, the assets may only be invested in investments authorized under chapter 118A or section 356A.06, subdivision 7, in the manner specified in the applicable trust document.

3.1	(e) A political subdivision or public entity may provide investment direction to a
3.2	trust administrator in compliance with any applicable trust document.
3.3	Subd. 6. Limit on deposit. A political subdivision or public entity may not
3.4	deposit money in a trust or trust account created pursuant to this section if the total
3.5	amount invested by that political subdivision or public entity would exceed the political
3.6	subdivision's or public entity's actuarially determined liabilities for postemployment
3.7	benefits due to officers and employees, as determined under the applicable standards of the
3.8	Governmental Accounting Standards Board.
3.9	Subd. 7. Withdrawal of funds and termination of account. (a) For a revocable
3.10	account, a political subdivision or public entity may withdraw some or all of its money
3.11	or terminate the trust account for any reason. Money and accrued investment earnings
3.12	withdrawn from a revocable account must be deposited in a fund separate and distinct from
3.13	any other funds of the political subdivision or public entity. This money, with accrued
3.14	investment earnings, must be used to pay legally enforceable postemployment benefits
3.15	to former officers and employees, unless (i) there has been a change in state or federal
3.16	law affecting that political subdivision's or public entity's liabilities for postemployment
3.17	benefits, or (ii) there has been a change in the demographic composition of that political
3.18	subdivision's or public entity's employees eligible for postemployment benefits, or (iii)
3.19	there has been a change in the provisions or terms of the postemployment benefits in that
3.20	political subdivision or public entity including, but not limited to, the portion of the costs
3.21	eligible employees must pay to receive the benefits, or (iv) other factors exist that have
3.22	a material effect on that political subdivision's or public entity's actuarially determined
3.23	liabilities for postemployment benefits, in which event any amount in excess of 100
3.24	percent of that political subdivision's or public entity's actuarially determined liabilities for
3.25	postemployment benefits, as determined under standards of the Government Accounting
3.26	Standards Board, may be withdrawn and used for any purpose.
3.27	(b) For an irrevocable account, a political subdivision or public entity may withdraw
3.28	money only:
3.29	(1) as needed to pay postemployment benefits owed to former officers and employees
3.30	of the political subdivision or public entity; or
3.31	(2) when all postemployment benefit liability owed to former officers or employees
3.32	of the political subdivision or public entity has been satisfied or otherwise defeased.
3.33	(c) A political subdivision or public entity requesting withdrawal of money from
3.34	an account created under this section must do so at a time and in the manner required by

the executive director of the Public Employees Retirement Association or specified in an

4.2

4.3

4.4

4.5

4.6

4.7

4.8

4.9

4.10

4.11

4.12

4.13

4.14

4.15

4.16

4.17

4.18

4.19

4.20

4.21

4.22

4.23

SA

applicable trust document. The political subdivision or public entity that created the trus
must ensure that withdrawals comply with the requirements of this section.

(d) The legislature may not divert funds in these trusts or trust accounts for use for another purpose.

Subd. 8. Status of irrevocable trust. (a) All money in an irrevocable trust or trust account created in this section is held in trust for the exclusive benefit of former officers and employees of the participating political subdivision or public entity, and are not subject to claims by creditors of the state, the participating political subdivision or public entity, the current or former officers and employees of the political subdivision or public entity, or the trust administrator.

(b) An irrevocable trust fund or trust account created in this section shall be deemed an arrangement equivalent to a trust for all legal purposes.

Sec. 2. VALIDATION.

Any trust or trust account or other custodial account or contract authorized under section 401(f) of the Internal Revenue Code, created prior to June 6, 2006, to pay postemployment benefits to employees or officers after termination of service, is hereby validated, may continue in full force and effect, and shall have continuing authority to accept new funds; however, this section does not validate or correct defects in any previously created trust document. Any funds held by a validated trust or account under this section may be invested as provided in section 1, subdivision 5. A validated trust or account shall have until January 1, 2008, to bring its trust documents and procedures into compliance with section 1.

Sec. 3. **EFFECTIVE DATE.**

4.24 This act is effective the day following final enactment.

Sec. 3. 4