1	
2	
3	
4	
5	REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL
6	Provision of Consulting Actuarial Services
7	March 15, 2002
8	
9	Request for Proposal
10 11	The Minnesota Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement requests proposals from qualified actuarial consulting firms to provide a range of actuarial services to the Commission.
12	The Minnesota Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement
13	The Minnesota Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement is a permanent agency of the
14	Minnesota Legislature established to study Minnesota public pension plans and retirement topics, to
15	make recommendations furthering sound pension policy for the various Minnesota public pension plans,
16	to oversee arrange for the review or audit of the provision of annual actuarial valuation valuations and
17	related actuarial services work for the major and statewide Minnesota public employee pension plans,
18	and to analyze proposed Minnesota public pension legislation. The Commission is obligated authorized
19	by statute to employ a consulting actuarial firm to perform review or audit the regular actuarial
20	valuations, experience studies, and projection valuations for other actuarial work provided by

Page 1 2008 RFP

- the major and statewide Minnesota public pension plans. The membership of the Commission consists
- 2 of five members of the Minnesota House of Representatives and five members of the Minnesota Senate.

3 Actuarial Services to be Provided

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The actuarial services required desired by the Commission to be provided by the actuarial firm it retains intends to retain under current statute may include the performance review or audit of annual actuarial valuations for 13 Minnesota public employee pension plans and up to 44 local police or salaried firefighter consolidation accounts, the performance review of quadrennial projection experience valuations for the three largest public pension plans, the performance of quadrennial experience studies for one or more of the State's larger public pension plans, the preparation review or audit of benefit change actuarial cost analyses in connection with proposed legislation for the various statewide and major local pension plans, the preparation of alternative actuarial valuations for up to four Minnesota local police or salaried firefighter relief associations that may be contemplating consolidation with the statewide Public Employees Police and Fire Plan the review or audit of optional annuity form tables or annuity reserve factors for the various statewide and major local pension plans, the review or audit of prior service credit purchase payment amount determinations, the review or audit of public employer privatization gain and loss determinations relating to the General Employee Retirement Plan of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA-General) and the General State Employees Retirement Plan of the Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS-General), the preparation of reports to the Commission and to the Minnesota Legislature on the results of special actuarial or benefit studies, and the provision to the Commission of various other actuarial consulting services and other technical services not provided by the Commission's professional staff.

Page 2 2008 RFP

1 <u>Detailed Proposal Requirements</u>

- 2 An additional specification of the actuarial consulting services for provision to the Commission,
- 3 minimum proposal qualification and content requirements, and additional items of information or
- 4 interest are attached and are to be considered to be a part of this request for proposal.

5 Potential Responders Meeting Inquiry Process

- 6 Qualified actuarial consulting firms potentially interested in submitting a proposal in response to this
- 7 request are invited to a meeting submit questions regarding this request for proposal to be conducted by
- 8 the Executive Director of the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement. This meeting will be
- 9 held on Wednesday, April 3, 2002, from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., in Room 500N, State Office Building,
- 10 100 Constitution Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota. At this meeting, the request for proposal will be
- 12 <u>Answers to questions from potential responders about the request for proposal, without identifying the</u>
- 13 questioner, will be addressed posted by the Commission staff at www.lcpr.leg.mn.

14 Proposal Evaluation

- Full and complete proposals submitted in a timely fashion <u>subsequently</u> will be summarized into a
- 16 consistent format by the Commission staff and will be evaluated by a four the three-member
- 17 Subcommittee on Actuarial Services.

18 <u>Submission Deadline</u>

- 19 Proposals in response to this request must be mailed or otherwise delivered to the office of the
- 20 Minnesota Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement and must be postmarked, if mailed, or
- 21 received in the office of the Commission no later than April 17, 2002 5:00 CST on

1	Proposals postmarked or received after that time on that date will not be considered by the Actuarian
2	Services Subcommittee of the Commission. Only proposals covering the entire scope of actuarial
3	services to be provided as detailed in the attachment to this request will be accepted. Interested
4	qualified actuarial consulting firms should submit proposals, with five additional copies (total of six
5	copies), to:
6	
7	Lawrence A. Martin, Executive Director
8	Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement
9	55 State Office Building
10	100 Constitution Avenue Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
11	St. Paul, Minnesota 55155
12	Phone: 651-296-2750
13	<u>Fax: 651-297-3697</u>
14	E-mail: lcpr@leg.mn.us
15	Website: www.lcpr.leg.mn.us
16	

Addendum A

Detailed Proposal Requirements and Related Provisions

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

I. Scope of Actuarial Consulting Services to be Provided

The actuary retained by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement annually reviews and recommends proposed revisions in the Commission-adopted Standards for Actuarial Work, annually performs reviews or audits a valuation of the participation of each of the nine pension plans covered by the Minnesota Post Retirement Investment Fund (MPRIF) and a valuation of the participation in the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund Retirement Benefit Fund (MERF-RBF), annually performs reviews or audits actuarial valuations of the 14-13 statewide and major local pension plans, annually collects and initially processes experience data for the three largest statewide pension plans, potentially performs the actuarial work preliminary to the consolidation of a local police or salaried firefighter relief association with the Public Employees Police and Fire Fund for up to four local plans upon local plan request, annually prepare a report summarizing the annual actuarial valuations of the major and statewide pension plans and makes appropriate recommendations to the Commission and to the Legislature of an actuarial nature arising from actuarial valuation reviews or audits, periodically prepares reviews or audits actuarial cost impact estimates of proposed benefit modification legislation affecting statewide and major local pension plans or local police or salaried firefighter relief associations upon Commission request, annually presents annual actuarial valuations and experience study results to the Commission and to the affected pension plans, reviews or audits optional annuity form table or annuity reserve factor change, reviews or audits prior service credit purchase payment amount determinations, reviews or audits privatization gain and loss calculations, provides general or specific actuarial or pension consulting advice to the Commission or the Legislature upon request, prepares special actuarial or related studies or research upon Commission request, and quadrennially prepares reviews or audits multi-year experience studies for the three largest statewide pension plans.

The specific actuarial consulting services to be provided by the actuarial firm retained by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement are:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2)

- Review Standards for Actuarial Work. The Standards for Actuarial Work, adopted by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement as of June 30, 1985, and amended periodically thereafter, are reviewed by the actuary at the request of and in consultation with the Commission staff for conformity with the applicable statutory provisions, technical precision, adequacy and appropriateness. Based on that review, the Commission-retained actuary, in consultation with the Commission staff, recommends necessary or desirable changes in those standards, first for comment by the various pension plan administrators and other interested parties, and then for consideration by the Commission.
 - Perform-Review or Audit Minnesota Post Retirement Investment Fund Participation Valuations. The Commission-retained actuary values reviews or audits the valuation by the applicable retirement plan consulting actuary of the participation of each of the nine pension plans covered by the joint post retirement adjustment mechanism, the Minnesota Post Retirement Investment Fund, for use in the calculation by the State Board of Investment of the amount of automatic annual post retirement adjustments, with a reporting of those results primarily to the State Board of Investment. An identical review or audit of the calculation for the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund Retirement Benefit Fund would also be performed, with reporting of these results primarily to the Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund Board of Trustees. The Minnesota Post Retirement Investment Fund is a separate pooled investment fund in which assets equal to the actuarially determined required reserves for retirement annuities and to some disability benefits of most of the statewide pension plans are segregated in order to account for the applicable investment performance. The Minnesota Post Retirement Investment Fund is administered by the Minnesota State Board of Investment and provides annual permanent percentage post retirement adjustments based in part on the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index and in part on the investment performance of the investment fund on a total timeweighted rate of return basis. The Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund Retirement Benefit Fund functions similarly and the applicable required reserves are also valued by the actuary retained by the

Commission. The potential dissolution of the Minnesota Post Retirement Investment Fund is provided for in Laws 2008, Chapter 349, Article 2.

- Perform Review or Audit Annual Actuarial Valuations. The Commission-retained actuary performs reviews or audits the actuarial valuations of the statewide and major local public employee pension plans in prepared by the retirement plan consulting actuary for conformance with Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, and with the Standards for Actuarial Work adopted by the Commission, which together specify the minimum contents of the actuarial valuations, the actuarial cost method to be used, the major economic actuarial assumptions to be used, the manner in which other actuarial assumptions are to be derived, and the format for the actuarial valuations.
- 4) Perform-Review or Audit Quadrennial Experience Studies for Three Largest Pension Plans. The Commission-retained actuary annually collects experience data relating to the three largest (by membership, assets, and actuarial liabilities) statewide pension plans, and processes an ongoing comparison of the actual occurrences or results with the anticipated experience under the actuarial assumptions in force. Quadrennially, the actuary reports periodically reviews or audits the results of those the quadrennial experience data calculations in an experience study, with the next set of experience studies due in 2005 2009, covering the period 2000-2004-2008. Upon request of If an experience of another statewide or major local retirement plan is authorized by the Commission, the Commission-retained actuary performs an reviews or audits the experience study for any other Minnesota public pension plan for which the annual actuarial valuation gain and loss analysis indicates a need to consider a revision of an actuarial assumption.
- Perform Actuarial Work Preliminary to Local Police or Fire Consolidation. The Commission retained actuary performs an alternative actuarial valuation regarding a local police or salaried firefighter relief association that is considering consolidation with the statewide Public Employees Police and Fire Fund (PERA P&F) under Minnesota Statutes, Section 353A.05. The preliminary consolidation valuation is used by a requesting local relief association and municipality in order to assess the actuarial liability and annual funding requirements of the current local relief association benefit plan under the statewide

PERA P&F actuarial assumptions, the benefit coverage of the current local relief association membership group under the statewide PERA P&F benefit plan and the coverage of the current membership group under the competing benefit plan option (local relief association or PERA P&F) that produces the largest actuarial present value of future benefits. Four local police or salaried firefighter relief associations remain and could potentially consolidate in the future.

- Prepare Actuarial Valuation Summary Reports to the Legislature. The Commission retained actuary prepares a summary of the annual actuarial valuations of the major and statewide public employee pension plans for use by the Commission and the Legislature. If the actuary desires to structure the actuarial valuations in this fashion, the summary report can replace the narrative portion of the individual actuarial valuations. The actuary also includes in the summary report any recommendations concerning the adequacy of the established support rates compared to the annual actuarial funding requirements for the various statewide or major local pension plans.
- 5) Prepare Review or Audit Actuarial Cost Estimates of Proposed Legislation. The Commission-retained actuary prepares reviews or audits an actuarial cost estimate in prepared by the applicable retirement plan consulting actuary for conformance with Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, and the Standards for Actuarial Work adopted by the Commission regarding proposed legislation modifying the benefit plan of a major or statewide Minnesota public employee pension plan.
- 6) Review or Audit of Optional Annuity Form Table or Annuity Reserve Factor Changes. The

 Commission-retained actuary reviews or audits the optional annuity form tables or the annuity reserve

 factors prepared by or under the supervision of the applicable retirement plan consulting actuary

 following a mortality assumption change or a post-retirement interest assumption change for

 reasonableness and accuracy.
- 7) Review or Audit of Prior Service Credit Purchase Payment Amount Determinations. The Commissionretained actuary reviews or audits the determinations of prior service credit purchase payment amount

1		made by or under the supervision of the applicable retirement plan consulting actuary for a prior service
2		credit purchase utilizing Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.551, for reasonableness and accuracy.
3		7)8) Review or Audit of Privatization Gains and Losses. The Commission-retained actuary reviews or
4		audits for accuracy and reasonableness the determination by the applicable retirement plan consulting
5		actuary of actuarial gains attributable to the termination of active membership in the General Employee
6		Retirement Plan of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA-General) or in the General
7		State Employees Retirement Plan of the Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS-General) by virtue
8		of the privatization of a former public employer and the additional liability to be incurred by PERA-
9		General or MSRS-General under Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 352F or 353F.
10		8)9) Presentations to Commission. Upon request, the Commission-retained actuary periodically attends
11		meetings of the Commission, during the annual legislative session and during the interim between
12		legislative sessions, to present information or reports on assigned topics or to provide actuarial and
13		technical information and advice on pending pension issues.
14		9)10) Provide General and Specific Advice to the Commission and Commission Staff. The Commission-
15		retained actuary provides general and specific actuarial and benefit plan related advice to the
16		Commission and the Commission staff in a manner that is to be readily understandable by persons
17		lacking technical actuarial training.
18		10)11)Prepare Special Studies or Research. The Commission-retained actuary performs special studies or
19		research on various actuarial topics assigned by the Commission or Commission staff as the need arises.
20	II.	Timing of Delivery of Actuarial Services
21		The contract for actuarial services will begin on July 1, 2002
22		The following timetable summarizes the activities of the actuary for the delivery of actuarial services to
23		the Commission during the initial contract year:

Annual Actuarial Valuations: Meet with the various fund administrators to ascertain July, August, September 2002 valuation data format and schedule; Collect and review benefit plan provisions. October 2002 Annual Actuarial Valuations: Final collection of valuation data. MPRIF and MERF-RBF Participation Valuation: Valuation of Minnesota Post Retirement Investment Fund participation for applicable pension plans for use in calculating the January 1, 2003, post retirement adjustment. Valuation of the Minneapolis Employer Retirement Fund Retirement Benefit Fund participation for MERF for use in calculating the January 1, 2003, post retirement adjustment. November 2002 MPRIF Participation Valuations: MPRIF participation valuation due November 1, 2002. MERF RBF participation valuation also due November 1, 2002. Annual Actuarial Valuations: Finalization of remaining annual actuarial valuations. December 2002 Annual Actuarial Valuations: Annual actuarial valuations due December 1, 2002. January 2003 Actuarial Valuation Summary Report and Recommendations: Preparation of the report summarizing the conclusions of the various actuarial valuation reports and preparation of related recommendations on pension plan funding for presentation to the Commission and for filing with the Legislature. Actuarial Cost Estimates of Proposed Legislation: Preparation of actuarial cost estimates of proposed legislation as requested by the Commission. February, March, and Actuarial Cost Estimates of Proposed Legislation: Preparation of actuarial cost estimates of April 2003 proposed legislation as requested by the Commission. May 2003 Actuarial Cost Estimates of Proposed Legislation: Preparation of actuarial cost estimates or revised actuarial cost estimates on legislation pending for final enactment. Review Standards For Actuarial Work: Review of the Commission-adopted Standards for Actuarial Work in consultation with the Commission staff and preparation and presentation to the Commission of recommended changes in the Standards.

June 2003 Annual Actuarial Valuations: Meet with the various plan administrators to ascertain valuation data format, needs and schedule. Actuarial Work Preliminary to Local Police or Fire Relief Association Consolidation: **Undetermined** Following local consolidation question initiation, the collection of demographic data and benefit plan provision information and the preparation of the actuarial work preliminary to consolidation for reporting to the applicable municipality. Presentations to Commission: Presentation to the Commission, upon the request by the Commission, relating to the topics of immediate concern to the Commission. Provision of Advice to Commission and Commission Staff: Provision of advice, as needed or requested, to the Commission or to the Commission staff. Prepare Special Studies or Research: Preparation and presentation of special studies or research, upon request by the Commission or Commission staff, as the need arises. Review of 2008 Actuarial Valuations. For the July 1, 2008, actuarial valuations of the statewide and major local retirement plans, the Commission-retained actuary shall review each document for conformity with the applicable requirements of Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, of the Commission's Standards For Actuarial Work, and of any applicable professional standards and shall review each document for the reasonableness of the presented valuation results. The review shall be conducted by April 1, 2009, or 60 days following the date of execution of this contract, whichever is later. Review and Replication of 2009 Actuarial Valuations. For the July 1, 2009, actuarial valuations of the statewide and major local retirement plans, the Commission-retained actuary shall review each document for conformity with the applicable requirements of Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, of the Commission's Standards For Actuarial Work, and of any applicable professional standards, shall perform a parallel actuarial calculation for the scheduled retirement plans and identify differences and inconsistencies, and shall assess the remaining valuations for the reasonableness of the presented valuation results. The valuation replication and the review shall be conducted by April 1, 2010.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1 Review of Quadrennial Experience Studies. For the 2004-2008 quadrennial experience studies, the 2 Commission-retained actuary shall review the studies for conformity with the applicable requirements of 3 Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, of the Commission's Standards For Actuarial Work, and of any 4 applicable professional standards and shall review each study for the reasonableness of the presented 5 results and recommendations of assumption changes by the applicable retirement plan consulting actuary. 6 The review shall be conducted 60 days following the date on which the last of the three experience studies 7 is filed with the Commission. 8 Review of Actuarial Cost Estimates of 2009 Proposed Legislation. For actuarial cost estimates related to 9 proposed legislation during the 2009 Legislative Session, the Commission-retained actuary shall review 10 the actuarial cost estimate for the reasonableness of its assumptions, the reasonableness of its 11 methodology, and the reasonableness of its results. The review shall be conducted within seven days 12 following the date on which the estimate is provided to the actuary. 13 Preparation of Actuarial Cost Estimates of 2010 Proposed Legislation. For actuarial cost estimates 14 related to proposed legislation during the 2010 Legislative Session, the Commission-retained actuary 15 shall prepare an independent actuarial cost estimate for proposed pension legislation within seven days of 16 the receipt of a request for an estimate from the executive director of the Commission. 17 Review of Optional Annuity Form Table Changes or Annuity Reserve Factor Changes. For any optional 18 annuity form table change or any annuity reserve factor change occurring after January 1, 2009, the 19 Commission-retained actuary shall review the table or factor results for conformity with the applicable 20 requirements of Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, of the Commission's Standards For Actuarial 21 Work, an of any applicable professional standards and for the reasonableness of the results. The results of 22 the review shall be reported to the Commission within 30 days of the receipt of the assignment from the 23 executive director of the Commission. 24 Review of Prior Service Credit Purchase Payment Amount Determinations. For any prior service credit 25 purchase payment amount determinations prepared after January 1, 2009, the Commission-retained

actuary shall review the determination for conformity with Minnesota Statutes, Section 356,551, and for the reasonableness of the results. The results of the review shall be reported to the Commission within 30 days of the receipt of the assignment from the executive director of the Commission. Review of Privatization Gain and Loss Calculations. For any privatization gain and loss calculations after January 1, 2009, the Commission-retained actuary shall review the calculations for conformity with Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 352F or 353F and Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, of the Commission's Standards For Actuarial Work, and of any applicable professional standards and for the reasonableness of the results. The results of the review shall be reported to the Commission within 30 days of the receipt of the assignment from the executive director of the Commission. Presentations to the Commission, Provision of Advice, Special Studies or Research. If requested, the Commission-retained actuary shall make presentations to the Commission, shall provide advice to the Commission and Commission staff, and shall prepare special studies or research. The work product must be delivered to the Commission by the due date specified in the request.

III. Minnesota Public Employee Pension Plans Covered

There are in excess of 750 Minnesota public employee pension plans, including 14-13 for which the actuary retained by the Commission will have responsibility for the preparation-review or audit of annual actuarial valuations. For the three largest plans, the Commission-retained actuary will be responsible to review or audit prepare quadrennial experience studies. For the various statewide and major local retirement plans, the actuary retained by the Commission will be responsible for the review or audit of actuarial cost estimates for proposed pension legislation, for the review or audit of optional annuity form table changes or annuity reserve factor changes, and for the review or audit of prior service credit purchase payment amount determinations. For employees of employing units that are privatizing who are covered by the General State Employees Retirement Plan of the Minnesota State Retirement System (MSRS-General) or by the General Employee Retirement Plan of the Public Employees Retirement Association (PERA-General), the actuary retained by the Commission will be responsible for the review or audit of the related gain and loss

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10

11

12

calculations under Minnesota Statutes, Chapter 352F or 353F. For the four remaining local police relief associations and local salaried firefighter relief associations, the actuary retained by the Commission will be responsible for preparing reviewing the actuarial work preliminary to consolidation with PERA-P&F, if the consolidation question is initiated by the relief association, and for preparing reviewing actuarial cost estimates for proposed statewide local police and salaried fire relief association legislation, if requested, and for reviewing the actuarial cost estimates prepared by the actuarial firm of record for proposed special local police and salaried fire relief association pension legislation, if requested. For the more than 700 volunteer fire relief associations, the actuary retained by the Commission infrequently will be responsible for preparing reviewing actuarial cost estimates of the impact of selected proposed statewide volunteer fire relief association legislation upon the request of the Commission.

The following sets forth basic information on the 14–13 public pension plans for which the actuary retained by the Commission has substantial responsibilities:

Pension Plan		Coverage Group	Administrative Agency	2001 2007 Active Membership	2001 2007 Inactive Membership	2001-2007 Retired Membership	2001 2007 Assets (Thousands)	Investment Authority	Partici- pation in MPRIF
1.	General State Employees	Most Minnesota State Employees; Metro	Minnesota State Retirement	49,229	19,563	19,978	\$7,366,673	State Board of Invest-	Yes
	Retirement Plan	Agency Employees	System (MSRS)	<u>48,379</u>	<u>21,758</u>	<u>25,346</u>	<u>\$9,171,066</u>	ment (SBI)	
2.	MSRS Correctional Employees	Various State Depart- ment of Corrections and	MSRS	3,182 <u>4,332</u>	703	808	\$431,134	SBI	Yes
	Retirement Plan	Department of Public Welfare Employees			<u>1,345</u>	<u>1,502</u>	<u>\$583,318</u>		
3.	State Patrol Retirement Plan	State Patrol Officers; Bureau of Criminal	MSRS	823	35	745	\$572,815	SBI	Yes
		Apprehension Officers; DNR Game Wardens; Division of Gambling Enforce-ment Officers		<u>844</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>876</u>	<u>\$650.136</u>		
4.	Judges Retirement Plan	Supreme Court Justices; Court of	MSRS	292	15	247	\$123,589	SBI	Yes
		Appeals Judges; District Court and County Court Judges		<u>308</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>263</u>	<u>\$161,750</u>		
5.	Legislators Retire- ment Plan	Legislators	MSRS	139	108	293	\$42,608	N/A ¹	Yes
				<u>54</u>	<u>119</u>	<u>340</u>	<u>\$44,869</u>		
6.	Elective State Officers	Governor; Lt. Gover- nor; Secretary of State;	MSRS	0	4	13	0	N/A ²	No^3
	Retirement Plan	State Treasurer; State Auditor; Attorney Gen- eral			1	<u>15</u>			

Pension Plan		Coverage Group	Administrative Agency	2001 2007 Active Membership	2001 2007 Inactive Membership	2001-2007 Retired Membership	2001 2007 Assets (Thousands)	Investment Authority	Partici- pation in MPRIF
7.	Public Employees Retirement Association	Non-public-safety county and municipal employees and non- teaching school dis-trict employees other than in Minneapolis	Public Emp- loyees Retire- ment Associa- tion (PERA)	138,759 146,226	108,944 149,321	4 9,414 61,436	\$10,527,270 \$13,500,025	SBI	Yes
8.	Public Employees Police and Fire Retirement Plan	County public safety employees and muni- cipal public safety em- ployees not in local relief association	PERA	9,858 <u>10,720</u>	1,164 <u>2,014</u>	5,998 <u>7,032</u>	\$4,510,134 \$5,382,707	SBI	Yes
9.	Local Government Correctional Plan	County correctional facility employees	PERA	3,238 3,566	364 2,628	37 <u>275</u>	\$25,014 \$160,267	SBI	Yes
10.	Teachers Retirement Association	Pre-1988 State University faculty, Pre-1988 Community College faculty and non-1st Class City-local school district teaching personnel outside Duluth or St. Paul	TRA	71,097 <u>77.694</u>	27,303 35.550	33,757 <u>46.538</u>	\$16,834,024 \$20,111,779	SBI	Yes
11.	Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund	Pre-1978 Minneapolis city and non-teaching Minneapolis school district personnel	Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund (MERF)	959 266	210 156	5,043 <u>4,771</u>	\$1,507,159 \$1,494,081	MERF Board	No ⁴
12.	Duluth Teachers Retirement Fund Association (DTRFA)	Duluth school district teaching personnel	DTRFA	1,420 <u>1.150</u>	828 1.003	1,058 1,227	\$273,618 \$288,265	DTRFA Board	No ⁵
-13.	Minneapolis Teachers Retirement Fund Association (MTRFA)	Minneapolis school dis- trict teaching personnel	MTRFA	5,813	3,052	3,444	\$1,061,983	MTRFA Board	No ⁵
14.	St. Paul Teachers Retirement Fund Association (SPTRFA)	St. Paul school district teaching personnel	SPTRFA	4 ,671 3,999	1,995 <u>3,231</u>	2,050 2,721	\$869,045 \$1,015,722	SPTRFA Board	No ⁵

Notes:

The Legislators Retirement Plan does not have a separate retirement fund associated with it. Member contributions are credited to the State's General Fund when made and the employer contribution in the form of the MPRIF required reserve transfer from the State's General Fund are made upon each retirement balance of the pay-as-you-go obligation beyond member contributions is monthly. The indicated amount of assets represent the MPRIF participation. Post-1997 new legislators are covered by the Unclassified State Employees Retirement Program (MSRS-Unclassified).

The Elective State Officers Retirement Plan does not have a separate retirement fund associated with it. Member contributions are credited when made to the State's General Fund and employer contributions in the form of benefit payments from the State's General Fund are made when due. Post-1997 new constitutional officers are covered by the MSRS-Unclassified Program

³ The Elective State Officers Retirement Plan has post retirement increases indexed to the MPRIF increase percentage.

1 The Minneapolis Employees Retirement Fund has a Retirement Benefit Fund, which is generally identical in structure and 2 operation to the MPRIF. 3 The Duluth Teachers Retirement Fund Association, and the St. Paul 4 Teachers Retirement Fund Association have an essentially identical post retirement adjustment mechanism, providing has a 5 post-retirement adjustment mechanism that provides a permanent percentage annuity increase based on the fund's five-year 6 average total rate of investment return in addition to a two percent automatic annual increase. The St. Paul Teachers 7 Retirement Fund Association (SPTRFA) has a post-retirement adjustment providing an increase equal to the Consumer Price 8 Index percentage increase, up to five percent annually, as a demonstration project through 2009, then reverts to a post-9 retirement adjustment mechanism identical to the Duluth Teachers Retirement Fund Association (DTRFA) mechanism. 10 IV. Minimum Qualification Standards and Important Qualification Factors 11 The Commission requires that the actuarial firm meets five minimum qualifications and conditions and 12 demonstrates how those qualifications and conditions are met in the proposal; to be retained 13 1) Approved Actuary. The actuarial firm must meet the definition of an approved actuary in 14 Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, which requires a fellow of the Society of Actuaries or an actuary who has at least 15 years of service to major public pension funds. 15 The Commission also will consider the following elements in retaining a reviewing or auditing consulting 16 17 actuarial firm: Sufficient Firm Size. The extent to which the consulting actuarial firm has the capability to meet 18 19 the Commission's needs as well as the needs of any other firm clients is an important factor. 20 Prior Public Pension Experience by Actuarial Firm. The actuarial firm must have extensive 2) 21 experience of the actuarial firm in evaluating and forecasting the financial condition of large 22 defined benefit pension plans for public employees is an important factor. 23 Prior Public Pension Experience by Assigned Firm Personnel. Because continuity is very important in establishing sound public policy in the pension area, the responsibility for providing 24 actuarial services to the Commission must be assigned primarily to the prior public pension plan 25

1 experience of the firm personnel with extensive experience with public employee pension plans. 2 The firm must be prepared to establish a primarily assigned to the Commission's work and the 3 potential for a long-term relationship with the Commission and to undertake all available efforts to insure continuity of assigned personnel is an important factor. 4 5 3)4) Prior Reviewing/Auditing Actuary Experience. The prior experience of the actuarial firm and of 6 the actuarial firm personnel proposed for assignment to Commission work in reviewing or auditing 7 the work product of other actuaries is an important factor. 8 4)5) Accessibility. The availability of the firm personnel assigned to the Commission work must be 9 readily available to meet with the Commission, often on short notice during the legislative session, 10 which occurs between January and May, annually. The peak months with peak accessibility needs 11 are February, March, and April, is an important factor. 12 5)6) Level of Capability. The firm must have sufficient personnel available to perform the duties required by the Commission within the time constraints specified. 13 14 Absence of Contractual Liability Limits and Contractual Third-Party Reliance Disclaimers. The 15 extent to which the consulting actuarial firm seeks to limit its liability with respect to errors in its 16 actuarial work or to disallow reliance on actuarial results by third parties is an important factor. 17 V. Firm Information 18 In addition to indicating how the actuarial firm meets the minimum conditions described in section IV, the 19 Commission requires the actuarial firm to demonstrate its qualifications through a narrative discussion of 20 the following topics: 21 1) Firm's Structure, Operational Method, and Communication Capability. Describe the structure of 22 the actuarial firm and its operational method. Include in the description an indication of how the 23 actuarial firm communicates pension fundamentals in an understandable manner to audiences of

diverse and non-technical backgrounds.

1 2) Firm's Prior Public Pension Experience. Provide a description of any major public employee 2 pension plan actuarial valuation and related experience by the actuarial firm rendered during the 3 last five years and the degree of any consulting or other involvement by the actuarial firm with 4 other elected public bodies. 5 Function of Assigned Firm Personnel and Prior Experience. For each non-clerical employee of the 3) 6 actuarial firm proposed to be assigned to Commission work, identify the Minnesota public 7 employee pension plans or functions with which the person will be involved and indicate the 8 person's prior public employee pension plan experience. 9 References. List five major retirement systems or corporations with defined benefit pension plans 10 by whom which the actuarial firm previously has been retained, complete with the name and 11 telephone number of a contact person, as references who can be contacted about the prior 12 performance of the actuarial firm in providing actuarial services. 13 4)5) Client Additions and Subtractions. Also-Provide a list of all new clients added by the actuarial firm 14 and all former clients lost by the actuarial firm during the most recent five-year period. 15 5)6) Firm's Valuation System. With respect to Describe the valuation system of the actuarial firm: Describe the computer hardware and software system proposed to be used by the actuarial firm 16 17 to provide Minnesota public pension plan actuarial services, its configuration, and its capabilities. 18 19 Can multiple actuarial valuations or cost estimates be prepared on the system at the same time? 20 Is the system capable of valuing all ancillary benefits provided by Minnesota public employee 21 pension plans and of making future cash flow projections, if requested? 22 Where is the computer system located and where is the location of data input function into the 23 computer system?

1		by the computer system owned by the actuarial firm of is the system furnished by the outside
2		provider or contractor? Is, indicate whether the software proposed to be used has been
3		obtained from an outside vendor or is it-proprietary software developed by the actuarial firm?
4		f) Describe the applicable backup computer systems and procedures proposed to be used for
5		Minnesota public pension plan actuarial services.
6		g) Describe, and indicate the capabilities and procedures of the actuarial firm to retain prior actuarial
7		valuations and related data.
8		6)7) Firm's Potential Conflicts of Interest. If the actuarial firm previously has been retained by a
9		statewide or local Minnesota public pension plan, a Minnesota governmental employing unit, a
10		Minnesota public employee labor union, or a comparable party interested in Minnesota public
11		pension policy development, those relationships should be indicated. If the actuarial firm intends
12		to continue any of these prior relationships during the course of a contract with the Commission,
13		the firm must address the extent that the relationship constitutes a potential conflict of interest
14		when providing services for the Commission and how the actuarial firm will deal with any actual
15		conflicts.
16		7)8) Most Recent Audited Annual Financial Report. If the actuarial firm is publicly held, provide a
17		copy of the firm's most recent audited annual financial report.
18	VI.	Approach and Work Plan
19		The actuarial firm must specify how it will provide the required and requested actuarial services within the
20		specified timeframes for the initial year of the contract and within similar timeframes for subsequent years.
21		Accordingly, the firm and must indicate how its staff and the various projects will be organized to carry out
22		the required tasks.
23		Further, the work plan must identify the person who will be assigned overall responsibility for the work and
24		<u>indicate</u> the <u>business office</u> location of that person. The person with overall responsibility for the work does

not have to be located in the Minneapolis St. Paul area, but the actuarial firm must specify how it will ensure that the responsible person will be available to provide actuarial services to the Commission and the Legislature during the legislative session (generally the period January May, annually), potentially on short notice (i.e., within 12 to 24 hours).

The actuarial firm must set forth its implementation procedures, which must specify:

- how the work of the firm under the contract will be coordinated with the Commission staff and the various pension fund directors;
- 2) the personnel who will be responsible for presenting reports and results to the Commission and to the governing boards of the pension funds; and
- 3) the personnel who will be assigned as replacements in the event of the subsequent employment termination by or the non-availability of the primary <u>assigned</u> personnel.

VII. <u>Actuarial Services Compensation</u>

The contract will require that the actuarial firm provide all of the actuarial consulting services required by the Legislative Commission on Pensions and Retirement. The actuarial firm must indicate its specific required compensation amounts for the initial contract year, the second contract year, and two potential contract extension and three subsequent years, as follows:

Service	Compensation Method
Review or replication of the annual actuarial valuation reports for 14-13 plans	Fixed Fee
Annual MPRIF/MERF RBF participation valuation for 14 pension plans	Fixed Fee
Annual Review of replication of the quadrennial experience data collection and	Fixed Fee
processing for the three largest pension plans only studies for MSRS-General,	
PERA-General, and TRA	

	Service	Compensation Method
	Actuarial work preliminary to consolidation for prospective PERA P&F	Rate per hour
	Consolidation Accounts	
	Review of replication of the actuarial cost estimates for proposed benefit,	Rate per hour
	contribution, actuarial assumptions, or other changes	
	Review or audit of optional annuity form table or annuity reserve factor changes	Rate per hour
	Review or audit of prior service credit purchase payment amount determination	Rate per hour
	Review or audit of privatization gains or losses	Rate per hour
	Attendance at Commission meetings	Rate per hour
	Provision of advice and counsel on pension benefit design and funding	Rate per hour
	Preparation of special studies for the Commission	Rate per hour
The	proposal should <u>also</u> contain the following items:	
1)	A schedule of current hourly rates that the actuarial firm charges to its other	er current clients for each
	level of personnel anticipated to be assigned to this contract;	
2)	A description of how any out-of-pocket expenses will be charged, if the	out-of-pocket expense is
	not included in the fixed fee or the hourly fee;	
3)	A description of how any computer expenses will be charged, if the	computer expense is not
	included in the fixed fee or the hourly fee, and with an indication of the ite	ms that will be includable
	as computer costs and an indication of the amount of computer charges per	time unit;
4)	A description of how development costs will be charged, if not included in	the fixed or hourly fees,
	and the estimate of development costs arising out of the actuarial services of	contract for:
	a) any necessary changes to the firm's <u>current</u> computer systems;	

1			any necessary changes for data entry,
2			c) gaining familiarization with the Minnesota pension plans and systems; and
3			d) obtaining other data and information necessary to perform actuarial services tasks;
4			and
5		5)	A description of your-the firm's billing practices, timing, and procedures.
6	VIII.	Affir	mative Action
7		In ac	cordance with the provisions of Minnesota Statutes, Section 363.073 363A.36, no bid for a contract
8		in ex	access of \$50,000 \$100,000 may be accepted from an employer having more than 20 full-time
9		empl	oyees at any time during the previous 12 months unless the employer has an affirmative action plan
10		appro	oved by the Minnesota Commissioner of Human Rights. The Commission will not accept a proposal
11		unles	s it includes one of the following:
12 13		1)	A copy of the actuarial firm's current certificate of compliance issued by the Minnesota Commissioner of Human Rights; or
14 15		2)	A notarized statement certifying that the actuarial firm has a current certificate of compliance issued by the Minnesota Commissioner of Human Rights; or
16 17 18		3)	A notarized statement certifying that the actuarial firm has not had more than 20 full-time employees <u>located</u> in <u>the State of Minnesota</u> at any time during the 12 months prior to submission of the proposal.
19	IX.	Work	ters' Compensation
20		The	successful proposer must submit acceptable evidence of compliance by the actuarial firm with the
21		work	ers' compensation insurance coverage requirements of Minnesota law for any Minnesota employees
22		befor	e the execution of the contract.

2 The Commission will only consider full and complete proposals. A full and complete proposal must 3 contain the following items: 4 1) Evidence of compliance with minimum qualification standards as set forth in section IV. 5 Complete information as required in section V. 2) 6 3) Specification of a work plan as set forth in section VI. 7 4) Specification of the particular required compensation amounts and descriptions of out-of-pocket 8 expenses, computer expenses, and development costs, as outlined in section VII. 9 5) An affirmative action compliance document as required in Section VIII. 10 6) A statement of the actuarial firm's capability to produce actuarial valuations and experience study 11 reports as specified in Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, and the current Commission Standards 12 for Actuarial Work. 13 7) Copies of examples of your firm's best work product for a prior or current client communicating 14 actuarial valuation, experience study and benefit cost estimate results. 15 8) A list of any past or current contractual arrangements with a Minnesota public employee pension 16 plan, a Minnesota public employing unit, or an organization of Minnesota public employees, or a 17 comparable group or entity with an interest in Minnesota public pension policymaking, as provided 18 in Section V. 19 9) A transmittal letter, signed by an officer of the actuarial firm or by a comparable official who has 20 the authority to bind the actuarial firm to the proposed terms, which must identify all materials and

1

21

X.

Contents of Proposal

enclosures included in the proposal.

1 Notice of Changing Circumstances Contract Duration XI. 4 6 7

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Potential proposers should be aware of the following information and circumstances involving or potentially involving changes impacting on the provision of actuarial services:
- 1)—The term of any contract for the provision of actuarial services will be for two... years, with up to two... one-year extensions of the contract before rebidding. Required compensation amounts should be for the a potential initial two-year period and for the two potential contract extension years.
- The specific form and content requirements for the quadrennial projection valuations remain to be finalized. The actuarial firm retained by the Commission will be requested to provide input on the amendment to the Commission's Standards for Actuarial Work prior to adoption by the Commission.
- Most Minnesota statewide and major public pension plans currently retain a consulting actuarial firm to monitor the actuarial services provided by the actuary retained by the Commission and to provide other actuarial services to the plan to confirm the work of the Commission retained actuary or to supplement that work. The actuary retained by the Commission is expected to respond to questions from those actuaries and to take responsibility for attempting to resolve differences in actuarial results.
- Other actuarial services from the actuarial firm retained by the Commission may be requested by parties other than the Commission, with some compensation amounts billable to the Commission and some compensation amounts billable to the requesting parties. The Standards for Actuarial Work and the contract will provide guidance with respect to those requests, with additional guidance provided by the Executive Director of the Commission as the need arises.
- As required by Minnesota Statutes, Section 356.215, Subdivision 4f, actuarial valuations prepared by the actuary retained by the Commission must contain the actuarial calculations necessary to allow for preparation of pension-related annual financial reporting under public sector generally

1	accepted accounting principles, usually the Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB). If
2	there are GASB statement changes, the subsequent actuarial valuations must accommodate those
3	changes without additional compensation.
4	XII. Reservation
5	This Request for Proposal does not obligate the Commission or the Legislature to let the a contract for the
6	provision of reviewing or auditing actuarial services. Further, the Commission and the Legislature
7	reserves the right to cancel this solicitation if adequate funding is not available to the Commission or if
8	the Legislature or the Commission otherwise considers the cancellation to be in the best interest of the
9	State of Minnesota.
10	XII. Attachments
11	The following items are included with this request for proposal:
12	5) Copy of Minnesota Statutes 2000, Sections 3.85; 356.20; 356.215; 356.216; and 356.217
13	6) Copy of 2001-2002 Contract with Milliman USA, the actuarial firm currently retained by the
14	Commission
15	The following items, included as part of this request for proposal, are available on the Commission
16	website (www.lcpr.leg.mn):
17	1) Minnesota Statutes, Sections 3.85, 356.20, 356.215, 356.216, and 356.217.
18	1)2) Copy of the Commission's Standards for Actuarial Work
19	2) Sample Minnesota Post Retirement Investment Fund Participation Valuation Report: TRA (2001);
20	3) Sample Actuarial Valuation Report: TRA (2001)
21	4) Sample Quadrennial Experience Study: TRA (2000)
22	5) Sample Actuarial Work Preliminary to Consolidation: St. Cloud Police (1997)

6) Sample Actuarial Cost Impact Assessment: Rule of 90 Extension Proposal (2002)

XIII. Evaluation

Proposals will be evaluated on the basis of the proposing actuarial firm's understanding of the scope of the services, approach and work plan, qualifications and capabilities, and compensation requirements. Proposals will be initially summarized and compared by the Commission staff and then reviewed by a fourthree-member selection subcommittee of the Commission. Actuarial firms presenting the proposals that are evaluated by the Commission subcommittee as being the best proposals of those submitted will be invited for personal interviews and presentations before that selection subcommittee. The interviews must—will be conducted with the primary actuarial firm personnel who will be providing the major services to the Commission in the event that the actuarial firm is retained by the Commission. Final selection of the actuarial firm to be recommended to the Commission by the subcommittee is expected to be made by the subcommittee on or before—May 31, 2002________. The Commission will approve the selection of the actuary to be retained. The contract is expected to be finalized on or before—June 30, 2002_______.