Task	Done	In	Not	Notes
		progress	done	
1. Consider studying existing system configurations,	v			Was included in standards development interviews and
hardware types, outsourcing practices, and vendor choices.				surveys by BenNevis.
2. Consider estimating the extent to which existing systems	V			See #31
will require modification or replacement to accommodate any				
changes that the ERER Task Force recommends.				
3. Consider updating the results of the county-by-county				Out of scope
survey regarding tract indexes, Torrens, and other matters that				
the county recorders are currently conducting.				
4. Consider inventorying the major categories of land-related				Was included in standards development interviews and
records that counties currently maintain, including, for	V			surveys by BenNevis. Additional work on this - Out of
example, zoning maps, building permit files, wetland and				scope – implementation at the county level
other natural resource inventories, and property tax records.				
5. Consider using its website to keep public-sector				www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm
employees, private-sector users, and the general public	v			
informed of the ERER Task Force's progress, as a way of				
evaluating its ideas and building support for its final				
recommendations.				
6. Consider conducting pilot studies of different types of				Pilots underway – all Level 3
electronic recording technology in a small cross-section of		V		
counties including, for example, rural as well as metropolitan				
counties, before recommending any such technology for				
statewide use.				
7. Consider modeling the major public - and private-sector				Pilots – Phase II
functions and workflows associated with real estate		V		
recording, both inside and outside of government, in order to				
identify tasks that are affected by real estate recording.				
8. Consider studying (i) what other states have done with				Was included in standards development interviews and
respect to authenticating, securing, and determining the	V			surveys by BenNevis.
recording priority of recordable instruments, and (ii) how				
U.S. systems other than real estate recording systems (for				
example, UCC filings, state and federal court filings) address				
those concerns.				
9. Consider explaining how the ERER Task Force will				See 2001 ERERTF WorkPlan
produce its work, including, for example, through	V			http://www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/workplan.pdf
subcommittees, by supervising the work of a new ERER Task				
Force staff, by retaining consultants, or through a				
combination of those approaches.				

Task	Done	In	Not	Notes
		progress	done	
10. Consider preparing a timeline for future ERER Task		v		Review and revise
Force work.				
11. Consider emphasizing the overriding importance of				Pilots – Phase II
identifying features that will both (i) facilitate or enhance				
county recorders' numbering, indexing, recording, payment,		V		
verification of receipt, certification, return of documents, and				
on- and off-site customer-access services, and (ii) foster				
procedures and policies that promote uniform, secure,				
accessible, and user-friendly electronic creation, transmission,				
recording, storage, retrieval, and preservation of, as well as				
payment for, real estate documents.				
12. Consider requiring that any technology-based				Connect with #15
improvements to existing systems that it recommends provide		v		
for long-term maintenance and development of electronic real				
estate recording, including the migration, conversion, and				
preservation of data over time.				
13. Consider how to build a framework for sharing and				Pilots – Phase II
communicating information that would rely on existing,		V		
recognized policies and standards for technology, metadata,				
or data, and that would best support and improve procedures				
for recording, gaining access to, searching, preserving and				
retrieving real estate records.				
14,. Consider developing performance standards for		V		Pilots, based on schemas.
electronic management of real estate records that do not				
specify particular hardware or software applications.				
15. Consider the implications of integrating existing paper,				Pilots - Connect to #12
microfilm, microfiche, and optical methods of storing real		V		
estate documents with any digital, encrypted, or other				
document formats that the ERER Task Force recommends, to				
help make access to and searches of the real estate recording				
system as seamless and uniform as possible.				

Task	Done	In	Not	Notes
		progress	done	
16. Consider the many ancillary functions that are part of the				Pilots – Phase II
real estate recording process, including for example (i)				
collection of deed and mortgage registry taxes; recording,		V		
well and conservation fees; special assessments and pas t-due				
real estate taxes; and Green Acres amounts, (ii) disclosure of				
information regarding wells and waste disposal systems, (iii)				
subdivision of land and lot-splitting, (iv) filing of Affidavits				
of Purchaser and Examiner's Directives in the Torrens				
system, and (v) with respect to real estate conveyances,				
verification of the tax parcel number; determination of the				
assessed value of the real estate; and disclosure of the name				
and address of the new taxpayer.				
17. Consider ensuring that any electronic real estate recording				Pilots – Phase II
system that the ERER Task Force recommends				
accommodates citizens' statutory rights to privacy and				
confidentiality of sensitive data and information as well as		V		
lawful uses of the real estate record, and supports units of				
government that are authorized to (i) revise, supplement, or				
otherwise modify certificates of real estate value (CRVs) and				
other documents that part of the real estate recording process,				
(ii) search and compile such data for purposes unrelated to				
real estate recording, and (iii) require an audit trail of				
particular real estate transactions.				
18. Consider requiring that any enhancements or changes to				2 phases defined.
existing applications that the ERER Task Force recommends	V			
be designed to be developed in phases and adaptable to				
various systems.				
19. Consider whether a tract index should be mandatory in all		v		Legal Subcommittee. recommendation
counties, and if so, whether it should replace the grantor-				
grantee index as the official index.				
20. Consider recommending the creation, evaluation, and				PRIA standard - only a recommendation
revision of uniform indexing standards to facilitate	V			
computerized searches, for example, by clarifying whether				
"John Smith Truck Co." will be indexed as Smith, John,				
Truck Co. or as John Smith Truck Co., and whether a name				
that starts with "Saint" be indexed as Saint, St., or St.				

Task	Done	In	Not	Notes
		progress	done	
21. Consider whether use of any uniform indexing standards				Rejected
should be mandatory; whether such use should be prospective	v			
only; and if indexing standards are to be used retrospectively				
as well as prospectively, how far back in time existing				
indexes should be amended.				
22. Consider defining the term "real estate records,"	V			
including, for example, clarifying whether probate records				
and judgments are included.				
23. Consider studying the costs and benefits of linking real				Out of scope
estate records with other layers of public data including, for				
example, data regarding transportation, hydrology,				
topography, and political boundaries, as part of the statewide				
geographic information system (GIS).				
24. Consider creating a simplified platting process that would				Out of scope
facilitate reference to real estate parcels that are subject to				
metes and bounds or other complex legal descriptions.				
25. Consider recommending the inclusion of parcel				Pilots – Phase II
identification numbers (PINs), geographic information system		v		Counties must make PINs available.
(GIS) identifiers, or other unique labels in recordable				
instruments to foster cross-referencing among real estate				
records and other layers of public data such as city assessor's				
records and Minnesota Department of Revenue records.				
26. Consider identifying the entity(ies) that will be		v		
responsible for developing and updating standards for the				
content and format of electronic real estate records.				
27. Consider making user-friendly, reliable, and convenient				Pilots – Phase II
on- and off-site public access to real estate records an		v		
important goal of any authentication, security, and recording-				
priority standards that it proposes.				
28. Consider identifying the legal issues involved in		v		Referred to counties. Evaluation in pilots
determining the recording priority of instruments filed in				
person, by mail, and electronically.				

Task	Done	In	Not	Notes
		progress	done	
29. Consider surveying the Uniform Electronic Transactions		V		Evaluation in pilots. Legal Subcommittee. has drafted
Act, Data Practices Act, Official Records Act, Records				language for permanent recording
Management Act, Torrens statute, recording act, laws				
concerning notarial acts, and all other Minnesota statutes and				
regulations (i) to determine which should be amended or				
repealed in response to the introduction of electronic				
technology into the real estate recording system, and (ii) to				
identify any new legislation that may be required.				
30. Consider studying who should bear the financial risk of				Pilots – Phase II
breaches in security and other problems that might arise with		v		
the introduction of electronic technology into the real estate				
recording system.				
31. Consider estimating the costs and benefits of (i) operating				Pilots – Phase II
the real estate recording system in its current form, and (ii)		v		
implementing and maintaining any technology upgrades or				
other changes that the ERER Task Force recommends.				
32. Consider the appropriateness and feasibility of making				Out of scope
recording and similar fees, as well as copying and				
certification charges, uniform in all counties.				
33. Consider public and private funding alternatives, Internet				Out of scope
advertising, new user access fees, a new statewide technology				
trust fund, and allowing counties to retain current mortgage				
registry and deed taxes and the recording surcharge as				
possible revenue sources, in order to assure that every county				
can pay for any technology upgrades or other electronic real				
estate recording initiatives that the ERER Task Force				
recommends.				
34. Consider proposing that the legislature offer counties				Rejected
financial or other incentives (1) to adopt uniform indexing	v			
standards prospectively, and (2) to amend existing indexes to				
comport with them.				
35. Consider protecting, to the extent feasible, the significant		v		Pilots – Phase II
public- and private-sector investments in real estate record				
systems that have been made to date.				

Task	Done	In	Not	Notes
		progress	done	
36. Consider proposing educational, financial, or other				Pilots – Phase II
incentives to encourage those in the public and private sector		v		
that currently use the real estate record system to participate				
in any electronic recording initiatives that the ERER Task				
Force recommends.				
37. Consider whether it is appropriate and feasible for		v		Pilots – Phase II
counties to collect filing fees and other revenues associated				
with the real estate recording process electronically.				