



## Electronic Real Estate Recording Task Force

[www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm](http://www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm)

**December 11, 2003**

### **ERER Task Force - Phase I County Status Reports**

#### **Pilot County Workgroup Meeting – December 10, 2003**

- Timeframe of counties eRecording of Torrens property was discussed
  - 2 Legal issues still outstanding:
  - Issues regarding “Chain of Title” validations for Torrens property...How can the county be sure that Chain of Title information is included in a document when it is required?
  - Issues regarding Torrens Certificate... Electronically recorded satisfaction may be received after transfer of property. Document would look valid under business rules, but could be archived before it is found it should have been rejected.
- Further discussion around digital signatures and certificate of authority were addressed.

#### **Standards Evaluation Status**

- WCI validation testing is 100% complete. WCI was found in compliance of processing the MN ERER Standards Schema.
- Fidlar has performed modifications to their application to address outstanding issues. Ready to begin further validation testing.
- Ingeo requested a sample of the Navis test suite to perform a final QA prior to beginning validation testing. Navis has provided 2 sample test cases in response.



## Electronic Real Estate Recording Task Force

[www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm](http://www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm)

### Dakota County

Status Item	% Complete	Status
Baseline Measurements	100%	Narrative completed
Pilot Measurements Matrix	75%	
Cost Benefit Table	0%	
Project Plan Status	NA	No update
Standards	NA	No update
Pilot Risks	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Torrens Certificate potential problems. Electronically recorded satisfaction may be received after transfer of property. Document would look valid under business rules, but could be archived before it is found it should have been rejected.</li> <li>• Lack of "Chain of Title" in Torrens Mortgage Satisfactions if mortgagee doesn't put successor language into body of satisfaction.</li> </ul>
Implementation Findings	NA	<p>Since this is a pilot, we will probably reference the electronic recording on the new certificate, even though the satisfaction will refer to a previous certificate number</p> <p>Assume that submitter accepts responsibility for errors contained in submissions. Recognition that Certificate of Title may read the satisfaction purports to release a mortgage.</p>
Best Practices	NA	No update
Issues	NA	Noted in Risks section
Status		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dakota County has electronically recorded 1,708 Mortgage Satisfactions and 4 Certificates of Release through December 10, 2003</li> <li>• Waiting for further validation testing of Fidlar application to determine compliance</li> <li>• Currently, Fidlar and Ingeo working together to add another trusted submitter. Testing ACH payment capabilities.</li> <li>• No short term plans to implement eRecording of Torrens property.</li> </ul>



## Electronic Real Estate Recording Task Force

[www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm](http://www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm)

### Hennepin County

Status Item	% Complete	Status
Baseline Measurements	50%	Pre-pilot measurements 100% complete.
Pilot Measurements Matrix	0%	No Update
Cost Benefit Table	0%	No Update
Project Plan Status	NA	No Update
Standards	NA	No Update
Pilot Risks	NA	No Update
Implementation Findings	NA	No Update
Best Practices	NA	No Update
Status Update	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The first iteration of the Construction Phase continues.</li> <li>Hennepin IT Staff and Perficient currently reviewing user interfaces.</li> <li>Plan to begin system and technical testing next week .</li> </ul>

### Lyon County

Status Item	% Complete	Status
Baseline Measurements	50%	County IT will be tracked thru the project especially implementation period. Not enough data to compare certificates of release to satisfactions, but our system handles them the same
Pilot Measurements Matrix	25%	
Cost Benefit Table	0%	
Project Plan Status	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>8/13 - Conversion</li> <li>8/14 - New System put in and training for e-recording</li> <li>8/19 - Go Live</li> </ul>
Standards	NA	No update
Pilot Risks	NA	Numbering Systems Lack of trusted Submitters
Implementation Findings	NA	Received 8 filings thus far.
Best Practices	NA	No update
Status Update	NA	Planning to start to complete the paper work to receive payment for the stages completed. Waiting for further Fidlal validation testing of product to determine compliance.



## Electronic Real Estate Recording Task Force

[www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm](http://www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm)

### Renville County

Status Item	% Complete	Status
Baseline Measurements	50%	
Pilot Measurements Matrix	0%	
Cost Benefit Table	0%	
Project Plan Status	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop and Test Coding of Business Rules – October 31</li> <li>• Develop and Test Integration Solutions – November 10</li> <li>• In-House &amp; On-site Testing - November 11</li> <li>• Validation Testing – December 5</li> <li>• Switch from Test to Live – December 9</li> <li>• Electronic Document Recording – December 12</li> </ul>
Standards	NA	No Update
Pilot Risks	NA	<p>Accommodating multiple submitters is a challenge when one of many possible signature models is being used and the electronic recording platform may not accommodate all signature models.</p> <p>Security risks encountered when working with multiple Trusted submitters platform.</p>
Implementation Findings	NA	Virus scanning software needs to be loaded on the e-recording server that will reside in the county.
Best Practices	NA	Virus scanning software needs to be loaded on the e-recording server that will reside in the county to protect the integrity of the system.
Status Update	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Software vendors continue to work together on integration of their software packages.</li> <li>• Software vendors continue to work with Recorder on drafts of Document Template, Rejection Notice, Receipt and Recorder Endorsement.</li> <li>• E-Server returned to the County on November 18<sup>th</sup> and vendors are working with IT staff to access the server through the County's firewall.</li> <li>• Ongoing discussion between software vendors and Recorder regarding Torrens solution.</li> <li>• Digital Certificate has been loaded on Recorder P.C.</li> <li>• Waiting on Ingeo eRecording product to be validation tested.</li> <li>• Targeting live implementation by the end of December, 2003.</li> </ul>



## Electronic Real Estate Recording Task Force

[www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm](http://www.commissions.leg.state.mn.us/lcc/erertf.htm)

### Roseau County

Status Item	% Complete	Status
Baseline Measurements	50%	Pre-pilot measurements are 100% complete. No data for COR's because they do not record any COR's.
Pilot Measurements Matrix	0%	
Cost Benefit Table	0%	
Project Plan Status	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• 11/17 – 12/17:- Completion of off-site development and testing</li><li>• 12/1 – 12/17: Install e-recording system at Roseau</li><li>• 12/18 – 1/14: Training</li><li>• 1/14: Go Live</li></ul>
Standards	NA	No Update
Pilot Risks	NA	County ISP, Internet technical outsource is unable to provide secure connections to dedicated machines either in the DMZ or internal. A technical resource is working on this currently.
Implementation Findings	NA	No Update
Best Practices	NA	No Update
Status Update		WCI installed backoffice automation system build 3.3.0 (This is not a production build). WCI installed the server hardware. The county has issues with their firewall and no connection has yet been accomplished.